האוניברסיטה העברית בירושלים The Hebrew University of Jerusalem









Discriminative Keyword Spotting

Joseph Keshet, The Hebrew University David Grangier, IDIAP Research Institute Samy Bengio, Google Inc.

Outline

- Problem Definition
- Keyword Spotting with HMMs
- Discriminative Keyword Spotting
 - derivation
 - analysis
 - feature functions
- Experimental Results





Problem Definition

Notation:







The performance of a keyword spotting system is measured by a <u>Receiver Operating Characteristics</u> (ROC) curve.

true positive =

detected utterances with keywords

total utterances with keywords

false positive =

detected utterances without keywords

total utterances without keywords

The performance of a keyword spotting system is measured by a <u>Receiver Operating Characteristics</u> (ROC) curve.

true positive =

detected utterances with keywords

total utterances with keywords

false positive =

detected utterances without keywords

total utterances without keywords



The performance of a keyword spotting system is measured by a <u>Receiver Operating Characteristics</u> (ROC) curve.

true positive =

detected utterances with keywords

total utterances with keywords

false positive =

detected utterances without keywords

total utterances without keywords



The performance of a keyword spotting system is measured by a <u>Receiver Operating Characteristics</u> (ROC) curve.

true positive =

detected utterances with keywords

total utterances with keywords

false positive =

detected utterances without keywords

total utterances without keywords



The performance of a keyword spotting system is measured by a <u>Receiver Operating Characteristics</u> (ROC) curve.

true positive =

detected utterances with keywords

total utterances with keywords

false positive =

detected utterances without keywords

total utterances without keywords



HMM-based Keyword Spotting

HMM-based Keyword Spotting Whole Word Modeling





HMM-based Keyword Spotting Whole Word Modeling



HMM-based Keyword Spotting Phoneme-Based



HMM-based Keyword Spotting Large Vocabulary Based

- Linguistic constraints on the garbage model
- Does a human listener need to have a large vocabulary in order to recognize one word?

(Cardillo et al, 2002; Rose & Paul, 1990; Szoke et al, 2005; Weintraub, 1995)

HMM Approaches to Keyword Spotting

 Do <u>not</u> address specifically the goal of <u>maximizing the area under the</u> <u>ROC curve</u> for the task of keyword spotting



Discriminative Approach

Discriminative learning from examples

 $S = \{ (\bar{p}_1, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_1^+, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_1^-, \bar{s}_1), \dots, (\bar{p}_m, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_m^+, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_m^-, \bar{s}_m) \}$

Discriminative learning from examples

$$S = \{ (\bar{p}_1, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_1^+, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_1^-, \bar{s}_1), \dots, (\bar{p}_m, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_m^+, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_m^-, \bar{s}_m) \}$$

keyword (phoneme sequence)

Discriminative learning from examples

 $S = \{ (\bar{p}_1, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_1^+, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_1^-, \bar{s}_1), \dots, (\bar{p}_m, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_m^+, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_m^-, \bar{s}_m) \}$

which the keyword is utterance in

Discriminative learning from examples



Discriminative learning from examples

 $S = \{ (\bar{p}_1, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_1^+, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_1^-, \bar{s}_1), \dots, (\bar{p}_m, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_m^+, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_m^-, \bar{s}_m) \}$

alignment of the keyword and the utterance with keyword

Discriminative learning from examples

 $S = \{ (\bar{p}_1, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_1^+, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_1^-, \bar{s}_1), \dots, (\bar{p}_m, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_m^+, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_m^-, \bar{s}_m) \}$

Discriminative learning from examples

 $S = \{ (\bar{p}_1, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_1^+, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_1^-, \bar{s}_1), \dots, (\bar{p}_m, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_m^+, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_m^-, \bar{s}_m) \}$

Discriminative Keyword Spotting

Keyword spotter $f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{p})$





Feature Functions We define 7 feature functions of the form: keyword (phoneme **Confidence** in sequence of sequence) acoustic features the keyword and suggested alignment Feature $(ar{\mathbf{x}},ar{p})$ **Functions** \mathbb{R} \overline{S}

Suggested alignment

Feature Functions I

Cumulative spectral change around the boundaries

$$\phi_j(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{p}, \bar{s}) = \sum_{i=2}^{|\bar{p}|-1} d(\mathbf{x}_{-j+s_i}, \mathbf{x}_{j+s_i}), \quad j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$



Feature Functions I

Cumulative spectral change around the boundaries

$$\phi_j(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{p}, \bar{s}) = \sum_{i=2}^{|\bar{p}|-1} d(\mathbf{x}_{-j+s_i}, \mathbf{x}_{j+s_i}), \quad j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$$



Feature Functions II

Cumulative confidence in the phoneme sequence

$$\phi_5(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{p}, \bar{s}) = \sum_{i=1}^{|\bar{p}|} \sum_{t=s_i}^{s_{i+1}-1} g(\mathbf{x}_t, p_i)$$



Feature Functions II

Cumulative confidence in the phoneme sequence

$$\phi_5(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{p}, \bar{s}) = \sum_{i=1}^{|p|} \sum_{i=1}^{s_{i+1}-1} g(\mathbf{x}_t, p_i)$$

We build a static frame-based phoneme classifier

$$g: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{R}$$

 $g(\mathbf{x}_t, p_i)$ is the confidence that phoneme p_i was uttered at frame \mathbf{x}_t [Dekel, Keshet, Singer, '04]



Feature Functions II

Cumulative confidence in the phoneme sequence



Feature Functions III

Phoneme duration model

$$\phi_6(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{p}, \bar{s}) = \sum_{i=1}^{|\bar{p}|} \log \mathcal{N}(s_{i+1} - s_i; \hat{\mu}_{p_i}, \hat{\sigma}_{p_i})$$



Feature Functions III

Phoneme duration model

$$\phi_{6}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{p}, \bar{s}) = \sum_{i=1}^{|\bar{p}|} \log \mathcal{N}(s_{i+1} - s_{i}; \hat{\mu}_{p_{i}}, \hat{\sigma}_{p_{i}})$$

$$\hat{\mu}_{p_{i}}\text{- average length of phoneme } p_{i}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{p_{i}}\text{- standard deviation of the length of phoneme } p_{i}$$

$$s_{i} - s_{i-1}$$

$$s_{i+1} - s_{i}$$
Feature Functions III

Phoneme duration model

Statistics of phoneme p_i

$$\phi_6(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{p}, \bar{s}) = \sum_{i=1}^{|\bar{p}|} \log \mathcal{N}(s_{i+1} - s_i; \hat{\mu}_{p_i}, \hat{\sigma}_{p_i})$$



Feature Functions IV

Speaking-rate modeling ("dynamics")

$$\phi_7(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{p}, \bar{s}) = -\sum_{i=2}^{|\bar{p}|-1} \left(\frac{s_{i+1} - s_i}{\hat{\mu}_{p_i}} - \frac{s_i - s_{i-1}}{\hat{\mu}_{p_{i-1}}} \right)^2$$



Spectogram at different rates of articulation (after Pickett, 1980)

Discriminative learning from examples

$$S = \{ (\bar{p}_1, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_1^+, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_1^-, \bar{s}_1), \dots, (\bar{p}_m, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_m^+, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_m^-, \bar{s}_m) \}$$

$$\downarrow$$

Keyword spotter $f(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{p})$



























Discriminative learning from examples

$$S = \{(\bar{p}_1, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_1^+, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_1^-, \bar{s}_1), \dots, (\bar{p}_m, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_m^+, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_m^-, \bar{s}_m)\}$$



$$\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Keyword spotter $f(ar{\mathbf{x}},ar{p})$

Discriminative learning from examples

$$S = \{(\bar{p}_1, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_1^+, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_1^-, \bar{s}_1), \dots, (\bar{p}_m, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_m^+, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_m^-, \bar{s}_m)\}$$



Keyword spotter $f(\bar{\mathbf{x}},\bar{p})$

Discriminative learning from examples

$$S = \{ (\bar{p}_1, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_1^+, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_1^-, \bar{s}_1), \dots, (\bar{p}_m, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_m^+, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_m^-, \bar{s}_m) \}$$



Keyword spotter $f(\bar{\mathbf{x}},\bar{p})$

Discriminative learning from examples



Discriminative learning from examples

$$S = \{ (\bar{p}_1, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_1^+, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_1^-, \bar{s}_1), \dots, (\bar{p}_m, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_m^+, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_m^-, \bar{s}_m) \}$$



Keyword spotter $f(\bar{\mathbf{x}},\bar{p})$

Given a training set: $S = \{(\bar{p}_j, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_j^+, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_j^-, \bar{s}_j)\}$ Find w

 $\begin{cases} \mathbf{w}^{\star} = \arg\min\frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{w}\|^2 & \text{such that} \\ \mathbf{w} \cdot \phi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_j^+, \bar{p}_j, \bar{s}_j) - \mathbf{w} \cdot \phi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_j^-, \bar{p}_j, \bar{s}') \ge 1 \quad \forall j \quad \forall \bar{s}' \end{cases}$

Given a training set: $S = \{(\bar{p}_j, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_j^+, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_j^-, \bar{s}_j)\}$ Find w

Given a training set: $S = \{(\bar{p}_j, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_j^+, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_j^-, \bar{s}_j)\}$ Find w

 $\begin{cases} \mathbf{w}^{\star} = \arg\min\frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{w}\|^2 & \text{such that} \\ \mathbf{w} \cdot \phi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_j^+, \bar{p}_j, \bar{s}_j) - \mathbf{w} \cdot \phi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_j^-, \bar{p}_j, \bar{s}') \ge 1 \quad \forall j \quad \forall \bar{s}' \end{cases}$

Denote current suggestion by w_{j-1}

1

Process one example $(\bar{p}_j, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_j^+, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_j^-, \bar{s}_j)$ at a time

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}_j = \arg\min\frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_{j-1}\|^2 \text{ such that} \\ \mathbf{w} \cdot \phi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_j^+, \bar{p}_j, \bar{s}_j) - \mathbf{w} \cdot \phi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_j^-, \bar{p}_j, \bar{s}') \ge 1 \quad \forall \bar{s}' \end{bmatrix}$$

Denote current suggestion by w_{j-1}

1

Process one example $(\bar{p}_j, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_j^+, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_j^-, \bar{s}_j)$ at a time

Denote current suggestion by w_{j-1}

1

Process one example $(\bar{p}_j, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_j^+, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_j^-, \bar{s}_j)$ at a time

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}_j = \arg\min\frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_{j-1}\|^2 \text{ such that} \\ \mathbf{w} \cdot \phi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_j^+, \bar{p}_j, \bar{s}_j) - \mathbf{w} \cdot \phi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_j^-, \bar{p}_j, \bar{s}') \ge 1 \quad \forall \bar{s}' \end{bmatrix}$$

Approximation: Replace exponentially many constraints with a single (most violated) constraint.

Define:
$$\bar{s}' = \arg \max_{\bar{s}} \mathbf{w}_{j-1} \cdot \phi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_j, \bar{p}_j, \bar{s})$$

 $\left(\mathbf{w}_j = \arg \min \frac{1}{2} \| \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_{j-1} \|^2 \text{ such that} \right)$
 $\mathbf{w} \cdot \phi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_j, \bar{p}_j, \bar{s}_j) - \mathbf{w} \cdot \phi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_j, \bar{p}_j, \bar{s}') \ge 1$

Approximation: Replace exponentially many constraints with a single (most violated) constraint.

Define:
$$\bar{s}' = \arg \max_{\bar{s}} \mathbf{w}_{j-1} \cdot \phi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_j, \bar{p}_j, \bar{s})$$

 $\left\{ \mathbf{w}_j = \arg \min \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}_{j-1}\|^2 \text{ such that} \\ \mathbf{w} \cdot \phi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_j, \bar{p}_j, \bar{s}_j) - \mathbf{w} \cdot \phi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_j, \bar{p}_j, \bar{s}') \ge 1 \right\}$

$$\mathbf{w}_{j} = \mathbf{w}_{j-1} + \frac{1 - \mathbf{w}_{j-1}\Delta\phi}{\|\Delta\phi\|^{2}}$$
$$\Delta\phi = \mathbf{w} \cdot \phi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{j}^{+}, \bar{p}_{j}, \bar{s}_{j}) - \mathbf{w} \cdot \phi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{j}^{-}, \bar{p}_{j}, \bar{s}')$$

Iterative Algorithm Input: training set $S = \{(\bar{p}_j, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_j^+, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_j^-, \bar{s}_j)\}$ Initialize: $\mathbf{w}_0 = 0$ For each example $(\bar{p}_j, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_j^+, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_j^-, \bar{s}_j)$

Predict: $\bar{s}' = \arg \max_{\bar{s}} \mathbf{w}_{j-1} \cdot \phi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_j^-, \bar{p}_j, \bar{s})$ Set: $\Delta \phi = \phi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_j^+, \bar{p}_j, \bar{s}_j) - \phi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_j^-, \bar{p}_j, \bar{s}')$ If $\mathbf{w} \cdot \Delta \phi \leq 1$ Update: $\mathbf{w}_j = \mathbf{w}_{j-1} + \frac{1 - \mathbf{w}_{j-1}\Delta \phi}{\|\Delta \phi\|^2}$

Output Choose w_j which attains the lowest cost on a validation set.

Formal Properties

- Convex optimization problem single minimum
- Worse case analysis: Area Under Curve during the training phase is high

$$1 - \tilde{A} \le \frac{1}{m} \|\mathbf{w}^{\star}\|^2 + \frac{2C}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \ell(\mathbf{w}^{\star})$$

 The expected Area Under Curve on unseen examples is high in probability

$$1 - A \le \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \ell(\mathbf{w}^{\star}) + \frac{\|\mathbf{w}^{\star}\|^2}{m} + \mathcal{O}\left(\ln(m/\delta), \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{\text{val}}}}\right)$$

Experimental Results

Training Setup

- TIMIT corpus
- Phoneme representation:
 - 39 phonemes (Lee & Hon, 1989)
- Acoustic Representation:
 - MFCC+ Δ + $\Delta\Delta$ (ETSI standard)
- TIMIT training set:
 - 500 utterances for training set of the feature functions
 - 3116 utterance used for training set
 - 80 utterances used for validation (40 keywords)





Practicalities & Algorithms

- The quadratic programming
 - Algorithm for solving the quadratic programming with exponential number of constraints [Keshet, Grangier and Bengio, 2006]
- Training the feature function classifiers
 - Hierarchical phoneme classifier [Dekel, Keshet and Singer, 2004]
- Non-separable case
 - Common technique in training soft SVM [Cristianini & Shawe-Taylor, 2000; Vapnik, 1998]







Thanks!